	Page 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	HEARING OF BOARD OF APPEALS
9	1180 BOYLSTON STREET
10	Wednesday, October 16, 2019 at 7:00 p.m.
11	Brookline Town Hall
12	333 Washington Street
13	Sixth Floor
14	Brookline, Massachusetts 02445
15	
16	
17	
18	Reporter: Jennifer A. Doherty, CSR
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Johanna W. Schneider, Chairwoman	
3	Mark Zuroff, Board Member	
4	Randolph Meiklejohn, Board Member	
5		
6	Maria Morelli, Planner	
7		
8	Rashna Balakrishna	
9	Stefan Vogelmann	
10	Raj Dhanda, Applicant	
11	Haril Pandya, CBT Architects	
12		
13		
L 4		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
2 0		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
2 5		

	•		
	ı		
_		•	

PROCEEDINGS

everyone. This is the continued hearing for the comprehensive permit application for the modified 1180 Boylston Street project. I think everybody knows the Board by now. I'm Johanna Schneider. I'm joined by Mark Zuroff and Randolph Meiklejohn.

Tonight our agenda is review of the rubbish and trash management plan for the project, discussion of the construction management plan, discussion of requested waivers for the modified project, draft conditions. We will have public comment. We will have a discussion of any remaining items and then our expectation is this hearing will close this evening.

Maria, do you want to start with a staff report.

MS. MORELLI: Yes, thank you. I'm Maria Morelli, senior planner of the planning department. Just a few items.

I want to point out that Commissioner Bennett, Building Commissioner Bennett is not here this evening, but we did have a discussion and I prepared a summary of that discussion pertaining to the waivers. I want to point that out to the Board.

That	summary	in	writ	ing	is	bef	ore	you	an	d we	car	ı
enter	portion	s c	of it	in	to 1	the	reco	ord a	as	you	see	fit

In regard to the trash management plan, Pat Maloney, who is the chief of environmental health, wasn't able to write a memo but he did review the plan and had advised that I simply update the conditions with some of the metrics that changed because there will be a review and approval of final management plan. He felt comfortable with that option. So those are just two items that I wanted to mention.

The first one is more housekeeping.

We are not able to have Brookline Interactive Group
televise this evening, but we do have an audio
recording and as usual have a court stenographer so
transcripts will be available in two weeks.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Okay. Thank you. Do you we need to review a rubbish plan or is that something that's going to be handled administratively?

MS. MORELLI: Because the commercial space is increasing from 5,300 to 6,500, I want to have Pat Maloney review, and so I think it would be helpful for the project team to give a very general overview of the deltas, how the trash management

plar	n is	changir	ng ar	nd it	t will	l re	eally	amount	t to	sizes
of t	trash	rooms	and	the	size	of	conta	ainers	and	how
the	quan	tities	are	incı	reasi	ng.				

MS. BALAKRISHNA: Rashna Balakrishna for the applicant. So as Maria mentioned, we've gone from 5,300 to 6,500 on the commercial space, 6569 to be exact, and we also have five more units. I didn't update the trash plan which is being reviewed or has been reviewed and will be updated --commented on and approved by Pat Maloney. It's a very similar trash plan to what was presented before. Each floor will have its own trash room. There is more space now for trash and recycling than there was previously.

There will be a large trash and recycling room on the first level of the garage.

It's a little under 400 square feet. It can hold seven 96-gallon bins, and three what's called a two cubic yard containers as well as a compactor.

Pat Maloney had recommended the compactor to reduce the amount of pickups. We're planning for two pickups per week. And each residential floor will have its own trash closet, which is approximately 73 square feet, which is larger than what was done before, and each retail

space will have its own trash closet and the	
commercial tenants will be required to coordinate	
with the residential pickup for trash and recycling	.

Typically there is one truck that comes for trash pickup for both residential and commercial and one that comes for recycling.

That's really the main summary of what we've done. We also have a trash room on the first floor that's available, and the building maintenance person will manage the residential trash.

MR. ZUROFF: So the commercial waste will be directly into some kind of container in the building or will it be in the garage?

MS. BALAKRISHNA: The commercial spaces each have their own closet next to their space, so to the extent possible it will be in that closet until the pickup day and time, at which point it will be put out with the residential.

MR. ZUROFF: So are there going to be wheeling containers out?

MS. BALAKRISHNA: They will be from the commercial, yes. From the first floor commercial spaces they will be taking containers out to the driveway, basically on the side of the

- driveway, the same spot that we had designated
 before is where the pickup will occur, which if

 you're facing the driveway, it's the far right side.

 And so it's a similar -- we had talked to Pat

 Maloney. It's a similar pickup as to what some of

 the other businesses have done on that side of Route

 9.
 - MR. ZUROFF: Thank you.
 - CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Randolph, any questions? Okay. Maria, anything else from the Town's perspective on the rubbish plan?
- MS. MORELLI: No, that's it.
 - CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Thank you. So

 I understand that we have some comments from our

 peer reviewer, Fuss and O'Neill and construction

 management plan.
 - Maria, is that something you want to either summarize or read into the record?
 - MS. MORELLI: It is a two-page memo.

 I think I can briefly summarize by saying that we did have Fuss and O'Neill review the construction management plan. We didn't have a preliminary CMP the first time for the initial project. We just felt that with the new addition, the new parcel that it would be a good thing to have a preliminary

exercise done for the CMP.

I can say that I have included all of the recommendations that Fuss and O'Neill made into the conditions and that basically pertains to having a foundation plan submitted to staff for review and approval. These are going to be sekant piles which might introduce some vibration issues, so there will be some vibration monitoring. The thickness of the foundation is already accounted for in the plans and the parking plan. There will also be an excavation support that will be reviewed by staff.

any potential soil remediation that is warranted. Right now the building at 612 to 614 Hammond Street takes up most of that parcel, so the applicant was not able to characterize the soils or test for any hazardous materials. There is a provision in the condition to make sure that the applicant is responsible for that, and those tests are shared with the Town at the right juncture. And should there be any remediation warranted, the applicant will be the party responsible.

So all of those concerns that Fuss and O'Neill raised are provided for in the conditions.

Page 9

1 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Mark, any

2 questions?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ZUROFF: No.

4 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Randolph?

5 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yeah, Maria, is Fuss

6 and O'Neill -- did you invite them to comment only

7 on the geotechnical and soil aspects of the

8 construction management plan? And there's probably

9 more to it, but the introduction of the excavation

10 next, that actually is one of the changes from one

11 permit to this application.

MS. MORELLI: Right. Let's keep in mind the state does have rules about not damaging abutting properties, and what we've been doing on a few of these 40Bs is we've been introducing this area of construction management planning, which is really relegated to the Building Commissioner's purview. We've only introduced it because we want to make sure these projects are feasible. So that has not come up as an issue here. So I wanted to state that even though protection of abutting properties is important, we do have a condition that there needs to be a foundation survey done pre and post construction that has to be reviewed and approved by the Building Commissioner and DPW.

1	And the construction management plan
2	that DPW and the Building Commissioner are involved
3	in, they do have quite a bit of latitude. If they
4	notice that there might be some potential concern or
5	hazard, it's within their purview to ask for more
6	information before proceeding with construction.

So I would just say that yes, there is a lot of material that's covered in the CMP, but it's not necessarily the ZBA's purview other than making sure that the applicant is the responsible party for any damage or hazards.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: You anticipated my next question. I appreciate getting Fuss and O'Neill's comments on their part of it. I appreciate their comments so thanks for providing it.

MS. MORELLI: Yes.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: I'm glad to see their recommendations have been woven into the conditions of the decision.

So our next agenda items are waivers.

Maria, does it make sense to go through the requested waivers or does it make sense to go through the Building Commissioner's memo?

MS. MORELLI: I think we could do

them together. I think what I might do is just begin with one general comment, which is these are two abutting parcels. They're both zoned as G or general district 1.0, and even though the two adjoining lots are in common ownership or maybe in common ownership, the lot lines shared by two properties are not necessarily eliminated unless there is a mechanism, mainly an approval not required plan.

That is a separate process where the applicant would submit a plan for combining the lots to the Planning Board for endorsement. What that would do is it would effectively eliminate those internal lines. Without eliminating those internal lines we do have additional waivers that would be required.

So to cut to the chase these waivers were submitted as if those internal lines did not exist and the Building Commissioner and I reviewed the waivers as if this was just one large, combined lot. We do recommend adding a condition which I have distracted by pencil, but you don't have it in your typed version, to have the applicant submit an endorsed or proof of that to the Registry prior to the issuance of the building permit. Just keep that

1 in mind as we're going through waivers. CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: 2 Is that a condition that has been shared with the applicant 3 before right now? 4 5 MS. MORELLI: I think we discussed it 6 but it's not in the typed version that you have. 7 It's typically done -- we did this on, I believe, 8 Babcock and 420 Harvard. So we've had similar situations where there might have been modification 9 10 or just two parcels. I don't see that that is a 11 problem. 12 With regard to Waiver A, the obvious 13 thing is we do need to update. So what you're 14 granting a waiver for is updating 45 units to 50, so 15 this is 50, still age-restricted units, and the 16 amount of retail commercial space from I believe 17 that's 5,300. I see 5,388 to 6,569. 18 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: We have to 19 vote on each of these, correct? 20 MS. MORELLI: Yes. 21 MR. ZUROFF: Approved. 22 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Approved. 23 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Approved.

24

25

no Waiver B in the decision that was approved by the

MS. MORELLI: For Waiver C -- there's

- There are no changes. It's just a housekeeping. If
 you look at the decision, there were exceptions
 listed under the requested waivers, so we don't have
 to make any new changes here, we just have to make
 sure we're using the correct version for Waiver C.

 Jiust want to point that out, so that does not need
 to be voted on.
 - Waiver D does need to be voted on, and this concerns some metrics that need to be updated regarding the size of the lot now that we have a new parcel being added. We are changing the lot size from 14,719 square feet to 17,690 square feet. That floor area ratio will change from 4.40 square feet to 4.52 square feet. And then each of the square feet for each floor has been changed. I can read that into the record for you and just review that.
 - CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: We can just review that.
 - MS. MORELLI: I'll note the total increases from 64,694 square feet to 79,966 square feet.
 - MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Maria, on the ground level, I think is the comma in the wrong place or

- 1 extra digits?
- MS. MORELLI: Thank you.
- 3 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Do we know
- 4 | what the correct number is?
- 5 MS. MORELLI: Ground level.
- 6 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: It's the
- 7 residential.
- MS. MORELLI: For ground level I
- 9 think there is an extra five. Could I just ask?
- 10 The ground level retail, ground level residential
- 11 4,289 square feet and it looks like there is a 4,
- 12 comma, 0155. So is that zero not supposed to be
- 13 there or an extra five? Maybe we can just return to
- 14 that after you review it.
- MR. ZUROFF: For the record, Maria,
- 16 you said the floor area ratio will be 4.52 square
- 17 | feet. It's not square feet?
- MS. MORELLI: Right. That's why I
- 19 hesitated. We will return to Waiver D. Just toggle
- 20 with the Commissioner's summary.
- 21 Waiver E, this is just a
- 22 recommendation from the Commissioner. Again, there
- 23 | is no change in regard to height. We just want to
- 24 make sure that we have -- it's kind of like belts
- 25 and suspenders, just some clarification. The height

- of the development remains 68 feet 2 inches at its maximum.
- We're going to advise that you

 indicate the elevation at the ridge line above

 natural grade. That's just helpful to have that.

 So if you will provide that, we will just add that
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Maria, when you say ridge, is that the top of the structure there is no ridge.
- MR. VOGELMANN: Top of the steel.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Top of the
- 13 | structural?

to the waiver.

- MR. VOGELMANN: Yes.
- MR. PANDYA: There is usually an
- 16 average.
- MS. MORELLI: There is nothing for

 Waiver E.1. We no longer need Waiver E.2 regarding

 side yards with the combined lots. There is no side

 vard. That is eliminated.
- For Waiver E.3, I wanted to note that
 in the waivers approved by the ZBA that the
 requirement for zoning is 40 feet, so there is no
 change. It's just a housekeeping thing.
- This is a new rear yard and what

- they're providing is one foot for that rear yard and E3, and there is a site plan that indicates where that is if you need to review that, and that should be the last page.
 - So E3, that would be kind of like the southwest corner, so where the Sushi building was and the strip mall, it would be that part.

You're going need to vote on E3.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Yes.

MR. ZUROFF: Approved.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Approved.

- MS. MORELLI: Similarly for E4, just to orient you, you can see that on your site plan that's going to be the property line that's shared with the six-family condo on Route 9. And again, just some housekeeping, what is required by zoning is a 40 foot setback and what is provided is 19 feet and 3 inches.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Maria, it doesn't quite show on the drawing. Is it from the second floor above or is it from the ground level?
- MS. MORELLI: It would be to that overhang.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Can I just ask. In the middle column here you're saying the zoning says

- 1 40 feet. This keeps saying 30 feet.
- MS. MORELLI: That is just an error
- 3 on the applicant's part.
- 4 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Because they drafted
- 5 that?
- 6 MS. MORELLI: Yes. It's just what
- 7 was required by zoning is 40 feet.
- 8 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Thank you.
- 9 MS. MORELLI: So for E4, did we have
- 10 a vote?
- MR. ZUROFF: Approved.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Approved.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Approved.
- MS. MORELLI: For E5, again, just
- some housekeeping. This is abutting a two-family T
- 16 distinct and the setbacks should be 30 feet, not 20.
- 17 The applicant is providing a one foot setback for
- 18 that rear yard.
- MR. ZUROFF: Approved.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Approved.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Approved.
- 22 MS. MORELLI: Now to Waiver F
- 23 concerning parking spaces. So our zoning would
- 24 require for residential one hundred. So keep in
- 25 mind we have 50 units that would be required is one

hundred parking spaces. The waiver is to allow for
a ratio of .8 and that would be a little less than
one. And then there would be I'm going to do the
commercial a little bit differently from the way
this was done.

One thing I want to say is I'm not counting the two surface spaces in the total because our parking reviewer didn't factor in those two surface spaces, so I'm using -- let's say you have 70 parking spaces, not 72. You're providing 50 parking space for the residential, and that means there would be 20 spaces left over for the commercial. And the commercial is calculated that there is 200 square feet for each parking space in this district, of a 1.0 district.

One clarification I want on the parking ratio. There are 50 units and you're providing 50 parking spaces, so wouldn't the ratio be 1.0 instead of .8? Okay. All right.

So both residential and commercial are still providing less than zoning requires so we do need a waiver for both.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Maria, just to clarify, the requested waiver is not for .8 spaces per unit? It's one?

1	MS. MORELLI: That is correct.
2	MR. ZUROFF: Approved.
3	CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Approved.
4	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Approved.
5	MS. MORELLI: There is no change for
6	Waiver H and no change for Waiver I. And we no
7	longer need Waiver J because we're not using
8	stackers in this project, so we don't have tandem
9	parking spaces.
10	There is Waiver K and L here. I
11	would have to double-check something. I think there
12	is an error. There is no Waiver L. There is Waiver
13	K that has an update because we do have to apply
14	this waiver from the demolition review for the
15	existing structure at 612-614 Hammond. Right. So
16	to clarify we're adding some language to Waiver K
17	but there is no Waiver L.
18	MR. ZUROFF: Approved.
19	CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Approved.
20	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Approved.
21	CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Item, strap
22	conditions. Maria, do you want to talk a little bit
23	about the way that we're proposing this decision be
24	structured because it's a modification.
25	MS. MORELLI: Yes. This is actually

our first modification where we have a substantial change. Our other changes, modifications have been insubstantial and we're also adding another parcel to the site, so the definition of site and project changed. We want to be clear about the metrics that are changing and the fact that we did have such extensive review from our technical advisors.

I do have procedure history that pertains to this modification. We do have new materials, and some of those are called modified. And then there are supplemental findings.

What I've done with decisions, I have six general conditions that refer to schedules, and by referring to the schedules, I feel like I'm better able to organize what the deltas are. So schedule one is going to be about all the materials that either replace or supplement the plan. So we have a new site plan. We have new architectural plans.

So schedule one is going to refer to our new site plan, the new civil drawings, and supplemental stormwater report, a supplemental geotechnical report, a supplemental traffic study, new architectural plans, a new parking plan, a new rubbish -- or maybe we should call this a modified

1	rubbish and recycling plan, and a preliminary
2	construction management plan which hadn't been
3	introduced before.

So schedule one will actually be attached to this decision, all of the related plans and reports so it will be easier for the Commissioner and anyone to look at what we're going to be -- what is supplanting, what was approved before and certainly what we are keeping from and not losing from the first round.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: For the architectural plans to be understood as to encompass all drawings we've put --

MS. MORELLI: I should actually change plans to drawings so it's understood that we're looking at elevations and plans, so we should just call that drawings.

Schedule two is going to be a comparison of what land area, living area, what is changing in terms of metrics.

And then schedule three is going to be any new and modified conditions. So the new conditions are pretty straightforward. Those mostly pertain to geotech and foundation and excavation.

The modified conditions are going to

1-800-727-6396

- be a red line version of the conditions that were
 approved. It's pretty obvious.
- Schedule four will be the modified waivers.
 - Schedule five is going to be a certified list of abutters within 100 feet of both 1180 Boylston Street and 612-614 Hammond Street and those would be the schedules there.
 - CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Should we start by looking through, I guess -- why don't we start with the procedural history?
 - MS. MORELLI: If I can just point out in item two, this is maybe the fifth line down under Roman Numeral IV, "Increased living area" should be "increased commercial area," and it should be instead of 4,833, that should be 5,300.
 - CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: I only have a minor nit on this page. The second to the last sentence of materials in regard to drainage analysis it's missing a word or two.
- MS. MORELLI: Thank you.
- CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Are we seeing things in this draft that come out as highlighted.

 What was the significance?
- MS. MORELLI: I wanted to leave -- I

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- didn't know if this hearing was going to be continued. I presume it is going to close this evening, but just in case it isn't, I wanted to be able to capture any dates. So we can delete that highlighted sentence in number six.
 - CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Okay. And in numbered paragraph nine at the bottom of that page?

 MS. MORELLI: Yes.
 - CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Okay. The change we talked about earlier today with respect to decision language is going to be carried forward?

 MS. MORELLI: The decision language?
 - Okay. I see. Hold on a second. Yes. So that's insubstantial to substantial, yes. That's a big one.
 - CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: That's a big one. I do have a question about condition number six in light of what we just discussed regarding A&R plan to consolidate that loss. Do we still need this language or are we going to substitute?

MS. MORELLI: I would recommend the following. Prior to the issuance of the building permit, the applicant shall provide evidence to the Building Commissioner that an endorsed approval not required plan has been filed with the Registry of

- 1 Deeds.
- 2 MR. ZUROFF: Should it specify that
- 3 thereby becomes a single lot? I know it does,
- 4 but.
- 5 MS. MORELLI: Do you want to put
- 6 language in there?
- 7 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: That's a good
- 8 idea.
- 9 MS. MORELLI: Okay.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: You want to
- 11 | say endorse A&R consolidating lots?
- MR. ZUROFF: To a single lot.
- MS. MORELLI: Thank you.
- 14 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: You've got
- 15 substantial and insubstantial there. One under
- 16 decision --
- 17 MS. MORELLI: Record of vote should
- 18 be the forgoing as substantial changes.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Maria, under the
- 20 first condition architectural drawings, only use the
- 21 word drawing there.
- MS. MORELLI: Thank you. I will. I
- 23 | will also add your name under record of vote,
- 24 Mr. Meiklejohn.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Maria, at the
top of the signature page or record of the page,
when we say in paragraph 7 "except as noted above,
the terms and conditions of the permit otherwise
remain in full force and effect," and then we're
also going to have this red line. Does that make
sense?

MS. MORELLI: i have under conditions above, I have three, "the conditions and the comp permit shall be modified as set forth in the newly modified conditions. Attached is schedule three."

So it's new and modified.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: It feels to me that we are making modifications by a red line to the existing permit, so they are not really remaining in full force and effect. They're also being modified. Are you following what I'm saying?

Do I make any sense?

MR. ZUROFF: It's a redundancy. It's essentially saying they're modified but then here's what they were.

MS. MORELLI: I was being super conservative.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: I understand.

25 I get why. I just want to make sure we're not...

MR. ZUROFF: Someone reading the
conditions down the road from the Registry of Deeds
doesn't really need to see the red lines.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: They don't have to see the red, no, they just need to see the clean version.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ ZUROFF: This is going to be the final version.

MS. MORELLI: Then we can delete number 7, is that what you're saying?

MR. ZUROFF: No, I'm just saying -- I think I'm saying the modified conditions should stand without the red lines. This is the new comprehensive permit.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: When this decision comes -- and we haven't done this before, I don't know how this works -- but when this comes together, the final document -- let's say we're at the Registry and we're pulling these, we have the previously recording comprehensive permit, we have this modification. I think what you're saying is you would just read them together or are you saying this is going to supplant that?

MR. ZUROFF: This is the new comprehensive permit, so no one has to look at the

-		
L	prior	_

- CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: That's the way it should be, but I'm wondering when we're looking at this red line, is this red line part of this modification document?
- MS. MORELLI: This is just one thing to keep in mind. If you go to approved, which I have here, there is some procedural history that defines the site. So I'm not redefining site. And there is also all these findings that are still valid, but I did not carry them over. So I treated the procedural history as like supplemental procedural history.
 - CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: They do need to read together, it sounds like.
 - MR. ZUROFF: I guess. This seems cumbersome to have red lines throughout modified.
- CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: It's a little cumbersome but it makes it completely clear what's changed.
- MR. ZUROFF: I see the value in this.

 I guess it's fine to leave the red lines in there so
 somebody can see what was modified.
- CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: I do want to
 go back to this language in paragraph 7. Do we need

- them to say, "except as noted above, and in schedule three the terms and conditions of the comprehensive permit shall otherwise remain"?
- MS. MORELLI: That's what I meant.
- Because when I say above, so number three does
 reference schedule three. That's why I thought -- I
 just said except as noted as above. It would
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: List them as 10 attachments.
- MS. MORELLI: The other thing is I
 want to keep in mind there might be definitions in
 the original decision that I'm obviously not
 repeating here. That's why I want to be really
 cautious about not superseding.
 - MR. ZUROFF: Instead of above, let's say herein, and that covers everything.
- CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: There you go.
- 19 Perfect.

16

17

- MR. ZUROFF: Do we have to go through
- 21 these?
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: I think we
- 23 have to go through schedule three.

exclude schedule three.

- MR. ZUROFF: Each one?
- MS. MORELLI: Minor updates on

Should we go

schedule two which is a comparison of specifications
there was presented to you, the breakdown of the one
to three beds, but I have an older version. We have
87 bedrooms, not 84. And it's 15, not 18 one beds;
33, not 32 beds, and it still remains two three beds
for 87, not 84 bedrooms.

to schedule three and look at the new conditions?

MS. MORELLI: These are conditions
that mostly ensure that we are including information
about the foundations, especially the sekant piles
that will be used and the fact that we have a new
parcel for which remediation or soil testing needs

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER:

So the first pertains to abatements because the existing building might have asbestos and there are state and local regs, and then condition two and its subparagraphs really pertains to -- it's almost identical to the condition we had at 1299 about the pre-construction survey with visual documentation. We do have abutters within 100 feet as we do for 1299. Those abutters are listed in schedule five.

All the subparagraphs pertain to test pits, installing crack agents, vibration monitors.

to be reviewed.

- The reports to Building Commissioner maintaining those vibration monitors, ceasing construction activity. If there is a damage that is identified and notifying the Building Commissioner any reports of damage, stopping, ceasing construction activity.
 - And then prior to issuance of the CFO, there is that post construction survey.
- CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Is that Exhibit 4 or is it Exhibit 5?
- MS. MORELLI: It should be schedule five, that is correct. And I want to point out that construction activity might be work. I think I might have used a weaker term, but I think we retained work.
 - MR. MEIKLEJOHN: By the time -- in the sequence of transition from the earlier -- this one. By the time you get to these conditions, will site be understood to be mean the two properties as assembled.
- 20 MR. ZUROFF: The combined site.
- MS. MORELLI: I think we should 22 change the definition of site. I will work on that 23 with chair as an administrative detail, and I'll 24 make a note of changing site and changing the 25 project, the definitions of those two terms.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: The question on
2	number one, it might relate to what this state says
3	about asbestos regulations, but my instinct is to
4	say or consider saying, "shall abate the existing
5	property." It's typically in buildings.
6	MS. MORELLI: Could be in soils.
7	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: And property
8	certainly encompasses the building.
9	MS. MORELLI: I was wondering if
10	there is going to be they do have to do soil
11	testing so there could be hazardous material in the
12	testing.
13	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Which can be many
L 4	things.
15	MS. MORELLI: Wouldn't asbestos be
16	included in the list of materials?
17	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: It would.
18	MS. MORELLI: I feel like that's
19	probably included. I feel that when structures are
20	demolished, there needs that abatement done before
21	demolition. That's something that the public gets
22	very concerned about. They see a structure getting

24

25

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Did we require

demolished, it's an old structure, they want to make

sure it's been abated before it gets demolished.

- asbestos abatement for the existing structure on the first piece of this?
- 3 MS. MORELLI: Well, there was something that was left over. It was a garage and 4 5 then there was another structure that was already --6 I should point out this is kind of belt and 7 suspenders because there are regulations. For that 8 they still have to get a demolition permit. Through that process the health department would get 9 10 involved and have a permit, also give them a permit 11 too.
 - I'm just providing that here. I think it's just helpful sometimes for the public to see that we haven't lost track of that, but it's not really necessary.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Okay.

MS. MORELLI: And then condition three pertains to the post construction survey and the next page conditions four parts of the issuance of the building permit. We do want the applicant to submit for review and approval, and this pertains to that abutting parcel, that newly added parcel, three things, a plan for soil and groundwater characterization; a plan for soil testing, and then see the results of all soil and water testing; and

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this would go for the review and approval for director of engineering and this is prior to the issuance of the building permit.

Five, the applicant shall act as a responsible party for construction of the 612-614 Hammond Street lot. This does pertain but not limited to excavation management disposal, contaminated soil and water pursuant to the Massachusetts contingency plan and to dewatering activities pursuant to United States EPA remediation general permit.

And the last condition pertains to bearing analysis study done by a professional geotech engineer that would assess building load and inform the final decision for foundation and submitting this study for review and approval to the Building Commissioner and the director of engineering and transportation, and to submit the plan for the excavation to support foundation design which would be stamped by professional geotech for the review and approval of the Building Commissioner and the director of engineering and transportation. That excavation support and foundation design shall include but is not limited to plans for the drill sekant pile foundation method indicated in the

- geotechnical plan listed under schedule three and
 the thickness of the foundation walls would be
 architectural drawings and parking plan.
 - CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Would we say those are listed under schedule three? Isn't this schedule three?
- MS. MORELLI: No, it is not schedule three.
- 9 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Schedule one.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Okay.
- MS. MORELLI: I can't imagine writing
- 12 trusts for a living. Thank you for catching that.
- CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Then I have
- 14 another question about schedule three again. So
- 15 this is schedule three, and then we say under
- 16 modified conditions, see schedule three attachment
- 17 for red line version?
- 18 MS. MORELLI: So how would this look
- in the end. The new conditions we can renumber them
- 20 and have them go at the end, so I want to be careful
- 21 about not renumbering what was already included.
- 22 Again, I haven't done this before, but I'm inclined
- 23 to just add these to the very end with a separate
- 24 heading.

6

MR. ZUROFF: Call it schedule 3A.

1	CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Schedule
2	three yeah, 3A is a great idea.
3	MS. MORELLI: So the red line version
4	will be 3A?
5	CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Yes, and make
6	the change to the parenthetical under modification
7	conditions on this document and at the top of the
8	red line document it will be Schedule 3A.
9	MS. MORELLI: Okay. So maybe just to
10	look at the first one, obviously we're changing 45
11	units to 50 under the second line, which buildings
12	are shown on the site plans and architectural plans
13	listed under item four, procedural history and
14	conditions of this decision?
15	MR. ZUROFF: It will be buildings, it
16	should be building.
17	CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: It should. So
18	that's just in the second line. I think it's not
19	item four.
20	MS. MORELLI: It would be
21	CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Of the
22	procedural history.
23	MS. MORELLI: For the approved
24	I'll call it the 2016 decision.
25	CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Do we need the

- 1 language about the conditions?
- 2 MS. MORELLI: We can maybe just say
- 3 listed under schedule three -- schedule one.
- 4 Sorry.
- 5 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Okay.
- 6 MS. MORELLI: Schedule one. So
- 7 wherever we see in the conditions of this decision
- 8 | it could be a schedule in here at the very last line
- 9 that will be schedule one, unless you have
- 10 anything.
- CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: No.
- MS. MORELLI: Okay. Condition four,
- 13 that's going to be 87 bedrooms, not 84. And then
- 14 that chart is going to be updated so that is not 18
- one beds, it's 15. It's not 32 beds, it's 33, and
- 16 therefore the total bedrooms for one beds will be 15
- one beds, 66 two beds, and 6 three beds for a total
- 18 of 87 bedrooms. Am I doing the math right?
- 19 Fifteen, 66, and six?
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yes.
- MS. MORELLI: I think in five we're
- 22 not approving waivers in Exhibit 1 but schedule
- 23 | four. And six wherever we say item four, I think
- 24 | we'll change that to schedule one. I'm not sure
- 25 what page you're on.

1 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Page four, 2 start getting some highlighted sections. MS. MORELLI: I want to confirm. 3 Subparagraph L, that is correct. I wanted to check 4 5 the width of the driveway on a full size plan. 6 is okay. 7 On subparagraph two, we no longer 8 need that paragraph because that pertained to having 9 stackers of the columns and stackers is really an 10 issue and when that gets worked out, it can affect 11 the width of the drive aisle and be parking spaces 12 themselves. Since there aren't going to be 13 stackers, we don't have that problem. 14 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: So the queue 15 comes out. 16 MS. MORELLI: So delete queue. 17 15B, we're going change -- so a total of 3 Dumpster, 18 not two. And the second line, the project shall 19 provide seven toters, not four. 20 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: At that same 21 96-gallon size? 22 MS. MORELLI: Yes, correct. 23 We don't need pending --MR. ZUROFF: 24 MS. MORELLI: That's right. I think

25

in 24 on page six I'm going have to change that

- because now it's really schedule five which pertains
 to that abutters list, so I will work on that with
 the Chair.
 - MS. BALAKRISHNA: I noticed one thing. It's not 15. In the old version we had a separate trash and recycling room in the garage, but now it's one larger room for both.
 - MS. MORELLI: Was there a reason why Mr. Maloney wanted separate rooms?
 - MS. BALAKRISHNA: I can't think of -I don't remember a reason other than that's the way
 it was shown on the plans before, so I'm not sure
 what the reason was, but now it's one room.
 - MS. MORELLI: I think there would be a need for separation if you have food waste. Since that's not the case, there is food uses are restricted. I guess it would be okay to delete that line.
 - There is going to be -- keep in mind there is going to be a review and approval of the final plan, so if Mr. Maloney does want you to do something differently, it would be within his purview to ask for that, so I feel comfortable deleting that line.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: It is the

- 1 second sentence of that, right?
- MS. MORELLI: Yes.
- MR. ZUROFF: Up to 27?
- 4 MS. MORELLI: You saw the additional
- 5 | TDM mitigation regarding electric vehicles?
- 6 MS. BALAKRISHNA: Yes.
- 7 MR. ZUROFF: Unless there are typos
- 8 in there...
- 9 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Can I go back and
- ask if there is no change to this, it's not
- appropriate because it's not a function of the
- 12 modification, but it's in number eight which is at
- 13 the top of page two. It's about -- so that no
- 14 direct light or glare shines on any street or nearby
- 15 homes. So my guess is our bylaw probably said we
- 16 have commercial properties and residential
- properties abutting here, but that was always the
- 18 case even in the earlier permit.
- MS. MORELLI: I think the issue
- 20 really is not so much commercial properties but
- 21 protecting residential. I think I might have -- I
- 22 can look at the bylaw to see that I'm being
- 23 consistent with the language in the bylaw. And I
- 24 can do that now if you want, but I'm pretty sure the
- 25 | idea is we have residential homes on Heath, and we

1	also	have	six	unit		we	were	most	tly	concer	ned	about
2	the	six-u	nit (condo	bui	ldi	ng r	ight	on	Route	9.	

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: This is a part of the bylaw that I always pay attention to. I think the protection from glare is not just for residents but I think it can be for commercial properties as well which deserves protection, but I don't know if it's appropriate to do that now when it's not really changing the project.

MS. MORELLI: I'm happy to make it consistent with the language of the bylaw. I think I need to do that administratively with the Chair.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Anything else on draft conditions? Maria, anything else you want to review with us?

MS. MORELLI: It would just be at this point I think it's just really administrative details regarding the Board's vote. There are several things the Board needs to vote on, a number of things would be to grant this approval.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Let me stop
you for a second because I do think -- I know we
received some public comments about the waivers and
so if there is anybody here from the public who

- would like to provide any kind of commentary about the proceedings this evening, we invite you to come up and speak to us now. Can I ask you to provide your name? You know the drill.
- MS. MURPHY: I know the drill. I'm

 Mary Murphy. I live on Craftsland Road and I'm

 representing many of my neighbors and I'm a

 member -- an active member of the Chestnut Hill

 Village Association.

First of all, I've lived in the community for over 38 years, and I always said as late back as 35 years ago, Precinct 15, which this project is in, was one of the last frontiers in Brookline and that we had to be careful and mindful as development was going to eventually encroach our neighborhoods and now we're really feeling the impact.

Now that I look back on it, it was visionary but no one paid attention to it and didn't really get much traction, but I understand that times change, and I understand we're well into this, but I would like -- when you brought up the idea of no food receptacles, I would like to kind of introduce an idea that one of those storefronts may be a coffee shop, something where the community can

1 feel a part of something, because we've lost -every side of Route 9 has lost Finagle-A-Bagel, 2 3 Starbucks, because the Starbucks now in Newton and Peet's Coffee are all gone. I understand there is a 5 little restaurant shop there and I would never want 6 to do anything to compromise that, but we don't have 7 enough on that side of the street to sort of run in, 8 get a cup of coffee, say hi to our neighbors. When 9 we lost Starbucks, that was a really big hit. Then 10 we got Peet's and they couldn't survive there. Ι 11 want to be able to bring that up.

And again, I want to go back and I don't know if this is an appropriate time, again, to speak to the public our piece that we have an opportunity to put on that side wall. I just think these are things that soften development for our neighborhood, still give us a sense of community and says that our new neighbors really care about being part of that neighborhood.

So when it comes to the receptacles and so, you know, understanding there is no food waste, perhaps you might want to consider doing something for the community if they can get a tenant that wants to do coffee or minimize what that is.

So I just want to kind of soften what

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- we're about to see and feel, and these are things
 that just make us feel like we still got our hand in
 our neighborhood. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
- 5 MR. DHANDA: Agreed.
- MR. KOBES: Good evening. I'm David

 Kobes. I reside at 629 Hammond Street which is the

 corner of Heath and Hammond Street. I have sent

 in -- I don't know if the Board has the copies.
- MS. MORELLI: Yes.
- MR. KOBES: Yes? I guess I should pass it out to the rest of the Board or not?
- MS. MORELLI: It was forwarded to --
- MR. KOBES: I have some copies.
- MS. MORELLI: If anyone needs a copy
- 16 of what you're --
- MR. KOBES: Do you have a copy of the presentation? It's a one-page exhibit.
- MS. MORELLI: You can pass it out if you want us to follow.
- MR. KOBES: I guess I can say I
 represent the last remaining part of the public
 that's still able to go through these hearings and
 hasn't given up yet.
- 25 The chart I have I would like to

explain it first just what it is. Maria had already gone over the waiver list, and I picked up my information from the website of the waiver list. So the waivers are the rows. The columns are two main sets, one was the passed set of waivers back in 2017, and the second set are those that you just went over earlier in the hearing, and within the columns, the first two columns, sub columns, are also taken directly from the website, the building code waiver list. And the third is just a ratio to make it easier to understand how the impact or how powerful these waivers might be. At least to me percentages are very useful.

So just as example, on D, the very first one, the maximum ratio of gross floor area to lot area, the required value, and these titles of the columns are from the waiver list. The required value is one and the approved was 4.4. That's 440 percent more than what was passed and approved than was required.

And I'll just point to one other one as we look at the 2017 list, and that is E1, one I still can't get my head around. The required was ten feet. The approved was one inch. So that's eight one hundreds of a foot. Or it's less than one

percent. It's 0.8 percent. This just astounds me, but I know it's been passed.

My main point in putting the whole thing together, however, is that each one of these was approved one by one, and I'm trying to learn just how this process works. No one seemed to look past each one separately. The gestalt of the whole thing is what seems to me is really important. And when you put it all together, you say, Well, does this tell us that the building doesn't fit the lot? It does to me. And that's without going into any one specific one but all of them together.

So I was a little disappointed that the building was approved as proposed. Now we come to 2019. There has been more land and I had thought and kind of hoped that when I went through the same process and looked at the ratios that they would have improved, after all there was more land, but they have not improved.

There are even a couple that are worse. For example, the very first one, that's probably the most indicative of does the building fit the lot, in my term. So it went from 4.4 to 4.57. It's worse. And even the one inch is still just one inch in E1. And also what's worse was the

commercial parking which had been a big issue during						
discussions and previous hearings is although						
because of the greater size, 32 parking spaces were						
required. They were only increased in fact by one						
from 19 to 20. So that makes the parking situation						
even worse than it has been.						

So in my mind, and I guess I'm asking the Board how this works, how the process works, why does this not add up to the fact that the building overwhelms the site? Or to use the terms from the Mass. Housing Project eligibility requirements, the design generally is not appropriate for the site on which it's located. I just don't understand it.

But at any rate, that is -- I would hope that the Board at least in future hearings could put together the gestalt and maybe see how that may be more meaningful in looking at each waiver one by one. Thank you. Are there any questions as to the validity of this or anything?

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: No. Thank you very much.

MR. KOBES: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: I do want to take this opportunity to thank the members of the public who have turned out for many of these

- 1 hearings and have given us very valuable input.
- 2 Nobody is very happy with a 40B project, but we have
- 3 paid close attention to the comments we received and
- 4 we really do appreciate them.
- 5 Okay. Board discussion, I'm not sure
- 6 what more we need to say other than, Maria, I think
- 7 you were going to go through some votes we need to
- 8 take.
- 9 MS. MORELLI: So the votes would be
- 10 certainly granting the approval with the
- 11 modifications authorizing the Chair to work with
- 12 staff on administrative details to finalize the
- decision, authorize the Chair to sign the decision
- 14 and closing of the hearing.
- 15 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Can I ask you a
- 16 question? This is about the request that Ms. Murphy
- 17 brought up. If I understand it correctly, this
- 18 refers to a line in all this documentation which
- would prevent or prohibit the development of a
- 20 coffee shop or something like that. So is it
- 21 appropriate to talk about that now, or is it
- 22 something that -- is that considered a major or
- 23 minor request?
- MS. MORELLI: Well, just to bridge
- 25 the gap, when we looked at this the first time, what

we're gauging here is not just density as a metric. A lot of the things that Mr. Kobes raised is metrics. In conjunction with that, we're looking at intensity of use which is why we have so much peer review and independent technical peer reviewers and the chief of environmental health really did weigh in and looked at what can be accommodated on the site. There's no loading zone on the site. It is in close proximity to some residential homes where ventilation could be an issue. The building does take up virtually all of the lot except for that driveway portion.

So considering the limited amount of space, the fact that with food-related retail you do need to have a ventilator, there needs to be ventilation, you have commercial kitchens, you have food waste which needs to be separated. All of those things add to intensity of use and perhaps negative impacts on abutters. So it's not that it wouldn't work at that site. It's just all that's going on at that site with multiple retail, we don't know the retail uses. It's really not specified, so we could not be very specific about even parking ratios. Those are unknowns. So the chief of environmental health had to say, with all these

- 1 unknowns it had to say restrict.
- 2 So going forward it's not that an
- 3 applicant can come back with the request to
- 4 modify.
- MR. MEIKLEJOHN: That's my follow-up
- 6 question.
- 7 MS. MORELLI: The process is the
- 8 same. The burden is on the applicant to provide the
- 9 space and the mitigation to support the intensity of
- 10 use that comes with a food --
- 11 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: In the first
- 12 place --
- MS. MORELLI: It was not part of
- 14 modification, so we can't introduce something new.
- We cannot open up new things. The ZBA can't weigh
- 16 in on the program itself. So if the applicant
- initiates that, then the ZBA can respond.
- MR. ZUROFF: At this point wouldn't
- 19 it be a change of use? They would need a variance
- 20 to get a coffee shop in this project?
- CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: They would
- 22 need a waiver from use requirements of the bylaw.
- 23 So the question is if at some future time they felt
- 24 that they could manage a coffee shop or something
- 25 similar, they would have to come back, and then we

- would have to make a determination as to whether that was substantial or insubstantial, because if it's insubstantial, it gets folded in. And if it's substantial, you would have to go through some sort of similar review process, obviously not this long.
 - MS. MORELLI: Another case at 420
 Harvard which food use was restricted and the applicant did come back and it was worked out with the chief of environmental health.
 - MR. MEIKLEJOHN: It helps to know that mechanism. I think you understand I was responding to the comment because we have spent a lot of time just in the modification talking about the varieties of impact of this project. This is a sort of social impact or social relatedness. It really resonates with me personally. I understand the value of it. It's nice to know there's a mechanism down the road if the applicant responsible for property wants to respond to that neighborhood request. Thanks.
 - MS. MORELLI: Okay.
- MR. ZUROFF: I have no further

 comments. I think we can basically exhibit all of

 what is before us, and I think we've already

	Page 51
1	approved to each one of those things. I'm in favor
2	of giving the Chair the authority to sign and to
3	approve what we have already voted on and
4	approved.
5	CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: And to close
6	the hearing?
7	MR. ZUROFF: And to close the
8	hearing.
9	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: We're voting this?
10	CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Yes.
11	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: I agree with those
12	two things.
13	CHAIRWOMAN SCHNEIDER: Okay. That's
L 4	it. The hearing is closed.
15	(Whereupon, the deposition concluded
16	at 8:15 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	Worcester, ss.
4	I, Jennifer A. Doherty, Certified
5	Shorthand Reporter and Notary in and for the
6	Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify
7	that the foregoing Pages 1 to 52 to be a true,
8	complete and accurate transcript of the testimony of
9	the aforementioned hearing held at the time and
10	place hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my
11	knowledge, skill and ability.
12	
13	
14	
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY
16	HAND AND SEAL THIS 28TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2019.
17	Jennefe A. Dohoet
18	Jennifer A. Doherty
19	Certified Shorthand Reporter
20	CSR No. 1398F95
21	
22	My Commission Expires:
23	October 19, 2023
24	
25	

[0.8 - appeals] Page 1

•	333 1:12	64,694 13:22	accounted 8:9
0	35 41:12	6569 5:7	accurate 52:8
0.8 45:1	38 41:11	66 36:17,19	act 33:4
0155 14:12	3a 34:25 35:2,4,8	68 15:1	active 41:8
02445 1:14			activities 33:10
1	4	7	
1 36:22 52:7	4 14:11 30:9	7 25:3 26:10 27:25	activity 30:3,5,12 add 15:6 24:23
1.0 11:4 18:15,19	4,289 14:11	70 18:10	
100 22:6 29:22	4,833 22:16	72 18:10	34:23 46:9 48:18
11353 52:17	4.4 45:23	73 5:24	added 13:12 32:22
1180 1:9 3:5 22:7	4.4. 44:18	79,966 13:22	adding 11:21
1299 29:20,22	4.40 13:14	7:00 1:10	19:16 20:3
1398f95 52:20	4.52 13:15 14:16	8	addition 7:24
1398195 32.20 14,719 13:13	4.57. 45:24		additional 11:15
15 29:4 36:15,16	40 15:23 16:17	8 18:2,19,24	39:4
38:5 41:12	17:1,7	84 29:4,6 36:13	adjoining 11:5
38:5 41:12 15b 37:17	400 5:17	87 29:4,6 36:13,18	administrative
	40b 47:2	8:15 51:16	30:23 40:18 47:12
16 1:10	40bs 9:15	9	administratively
17,690 13:13	420 12:8 50:7	9 7:7 16:15 40:2	4:20 40:12
18 29:4 36:14	440 44:18	42:2	advise 15:3
19 16:17 46:5	45 12:14 35:10	96 5:18 37:21	advised 4:6
52:23	5	a	advisors 20:7
2			affect 37:10
2 15:1	5 30:9	a&r 23:18 24:11	aforementioned
20 17:16 18:12	5,300 4:22 5:6	abate 31:4	52:9
46:5	12:17 22:16	abated 31:24	age 12:15
200 18:14	5,388 12:17	abatement 31:20	agenda 3:8 10:21
2016 35:24	50 12:14,15 17:25	32:1	agents 29:25
2017 44:6,22	18:10,17,18 35:11	abatements 29:15	ago 41:12
2019 1:10 45:15	509 13:1	ability 52:11	agree 51:11
52:16	52 52:7	able 4:5,13 8:16	agreed 43:5
2023 52:23	6	20:15 23:4 42:11	aisle 37:11
24 37:25	6 36:17	43:23	allow 18:1
27 39:3	6,500 4:22 5:6	abutters 22:6	amount 5:1,21
28th 52:16	6,569 12:17	29:21,22 38:2	12:16 48:13
3	612 8:14	48:19	analysis 22:19
	612-614 19:15	abutting 9:14,21	33:13
3 16:18 37:17	22:7 33:5	11:3 17:15 32:22	anticipated 10:12
30 17:1,16	614 8:14	39:17	anybody 40:25
32 29:5 36:15 46:3	629 43:7	accommodated	appeals 1:8
33 29:5 36:15	U4/ TJ./	48:7	

appearances 2:1	asbestos 29:16	belts 14:24	c
applicant 2:10 5:5	31:3,15 32:1	bennett 3:22,22	
8:15,18,21 10:10	asking 46:7	best 52:10	c 3:1 12:24 13:6
11:11,23 12:3	aspects 9:7	better 20:15	52:1,1
17:17 23:23 32:20	assembled 30:19	big 23:14,16 42:9	calculated 18:13
33:4 49:3,8,16	assess 33:14	46:1	call 20:25 21:17
50:9,19	association 41:9	bins 5:18	34:25 35:24
applicant's 17:3	astounds 45:1	bit 10:3 18:4 19:22	called 5:18 20:10
application 3:4	attached 21:5	board 1:8 2:3,4	capture 23:4
9:11	25:11	3:6,25 11:12	care 42:18
apply 19:13	attachment 34:16	40:20 43:9,12	careful 34:20
appreciate 10:13	attachments 28:10	46:8,15 47:5	41:14
10:15 47:4	attention 40:4	board's 40:19	carried 23:11
appropriate 39:11	41:19 47:3	bottom 23:7	carry 27:11
40:8 42:13 46:12	audio 4:14	boylston 1:9 3:5	case 23:3 38:16
47:21	authority 51:2	22:7	39:18 50:7
approval 4:8 8:6	authorize 47:13	breakdown 29:2	catching 34:12
11:8 23:24 32:21	authorizing 47:11	bridge 47:24	cautious 28:15
33:1,16,21 38:20	available 4:16 6:9	briefly 7:20	cbt 2:11
40:21 47:10	average 15:16	bring 42:11	ceasing 30:2,5
approve 51:3	b	brookline 1:11,14	certainly 21:9
approved 5:10		4:13 41:14	31:8 47:10
9:25 12:21,22,23	b 12:25	brought 41:22	certified 22:6 52:4
12:25 15:22 16:10	babcock 12:8	47:17	52:19
16:11 17:11,12,13	back 27:25 39:9	building 3:22 6:9	certify 52:6
17:19,20,21 19:2,3	41:12,18 42:12	6:14 8:14 9:17,25	cfo 30:7
19:4,18,19,20 21:8	44:5 49:3,25 50:9	10:2,24 11:19,25	chair 30:23 38:3
22:2 27:7 35:23	bagel 42:2	16:6 23:22,24	40:12 47:11,13
44:18,19,24 45:5	balakrishna 2:8	29:16 30:1,4 31:8	51:2
45:14 51:1,4	5:4,4 6:15,22 38:4	32:20 33:3,14,17	chairwoman 2:2
approving 36:22	38:10 39:6	33:21 35:16 40:2	3:2 4:17 7:9,13
approximately	basically 6:25 8:4	44:9 45:10,14,22	9:1,4 10:18 12:2
5:24	50:24	46:9 48:10	12:18,22 13:19
architects 2:11	bearing 33:13	buildings 31:5	14:3 16:9 17:12
architectural	bedrooms 29:4,6	35:11,15	17:20 18:23 19:3
20:18,24 21:12	36:13,16,18	burden 49:8	19:19,21 22:9,17
24:20 34:3 35:12	beds 29:3,4,5,5	businesses 7:6	22:22 23:6,9,16
area 9:16 13:14	36:15,15,16,17,17	bylaw 39:15,22,23	24:7,10 25:1,13,24
14:16 21:19,19	36:17	40:4,11 49:22	26:4,15 27:2,14,18
22:14,15 44:15,16	believe 12:7,16	10.1,11 77.22	27:24 28:9,18,22
22.11,13 77.13,10	belt 32:6		29:7 30:8 31:25
			32:16 34:4,10,13

35:1,5,17,21,25	closing 47:14	commissioner's	confirm 37:3
36:5,11 37:1,14	cmp 7:22 8:1 10:8	9:17 10:24 14:20	conjunction 48:3
38:25 40:14,22	code 44:10	common 11:5,6	conservative
43:4 46:20,23	coffee 41:25 42:4,8	commonwealth	25:23
49:21 51:5,10,13	42:24 47:20 49:20	52:2,6	consider 31:4
change 13:14	49:24	community 41:11	42:22
14:23 15:24 19:5	column 16:25	41:25 42:17,23	considered 47:22
19:6 20:2 21:15	columns 37:9 44:4	comp 25:9	considering 48:13
23:10 30:22 35:6	44:8,8,8,17	compactor 5:19	consistent 39:23
36:24 37:17,25	combined 11:20	5:21	40:11
39:10 41:21 49:19	15:19 30:20	comparison 21:19	consolidate 23:19
changed 4:7 13:16	combining 11:11	29:1	consolidating
20:5 27:20	come 9:20 22:23	complete 52:8	24:11
changes 9:10 13:2	41:2 45:14 49:3	completely 27:19	construction 3:10
13:5 20:2 24:18	49:25 50:9	comprehensive	7:15,21 9:8,16,24
changing 5:1	comes 6:5,6 26:16	3:4 26:14,20,25	10:1,6 21:2 29:20
13:12 20:6 21:20	26:17 37:15 42:20	28:2	30:2,5,7,12 32:18
30:24,24 35:10	49:10	compromise 42:6	33:5
40:9	comfortable 4:9	concern 10:4	container 6:13
characterization	38:23	concerned 31:22	containers 5:2,19
32:24	comma 13:25	40:1	6:21,24
characterize 8:16	14:12	concerning 17:23	contaminated
chart 36:14 43:25	comment 3:13 9:6	concerns 8:23	33:8
chase 11:17	11:2 50:13	13:10	contingency 33:9
check 19:11 37:4	commentary 41:1	concluded 51:15	continued 3:3 23:2
chestnut 41:8	commented 5:10	condition 8:18	coordinate 6:2
chief 4:4 48:6,24	comments 7:14	9:22 11:21 12:3	copies 43:9,14
50:10	10:14,15 40:24	23:17 24:20 29:18	copy 43:15,17
civil 20:21	47:3 50:24	29:19 32:17 33:12	corner 16:6 43:8
clarification 14:25	commercial 4:21	36:12	correct 12:19 13:6
18:16	5:6 6:2,6,12,15,23 6:24 12:16 18:4	conditions 3:12	14:4 19:1 30:11
clarify 18:24 19:16	18:13,13,20 22:15	4:7 8:4,25 10:20 19:22 20:13 21:22	37:4,22
clean 26:6	39:16,20 40:6	21:23,25 22:1	correctly 47:17 counting 18:7
clear 20:5 27:19	46:1 48:16	25:4,8,9,11 26:2	counting 18.7
close 3:15 23:2	commission 52:22	26:12 28:2 29:8,9	court 4:15
47:3 48:9 51:5,7	commissioner	30:17 32:19 34:16	covered 10:8
closed 51:14	3:21,22 9:25 10:2	34:19 35:7,14	covers 28:17
closet 5:23 6:1,16	11:19 14:22 21:7	36:1,7 40:15	crack 29:25
6:18	23:24 30:1,4	condo 16:15 40:2	craftsland 41:6
0.10	33:17,21	10.13 TU.2	CIMIMIMIM TI.U
	22.11,21		

[csr - existing] Page 4

csr 1:18 52:20	deserves 40:7	double 19:11	eliminating 11:14
cubic 5:19	design 13:1 33:19	dpw 9:25 10:2	encompass 21:12
cumbersome	33:23 46:12	draft 3:12 22:23	encompasses 31:8
27:17,19	designated 7:1	40:15	encroach 41:15
cup 42:8	detail 30:23	drafted 17:4	endorse 24:11
cut 11:17	details 40:19	drainage 22:19	endorsed 11:24
d	47:12	drawing 16:20	23:24
d 3:1 13:9 14:19	determination	24:21	endorsement
44:14	50:1	drawings 20:21	11:12
damage 10:11	development 15:1	21:13,15,17 24:20	engineer 33:14
30:3,5	41:15 42:16 47:19	34:3	engineering 33:2
damaging 9:13	dewatering 33:9	drill 33:24 41:4,5	33:18,22
dates 23:4	dhanda 2:10 43:5	drive 37:11	ensure 29:10
david 43:6	differently 18:4	driveway 6:25 7:1	enter 4:2
day 6:18 52:16	38:22	7:3 37:5 48:12	environmental 4:4
decision 10:20	digits 14:1	dumpster 37:17	48:6,25 50:10
12:25 13:3 19:23	direct 39:14	e	epa 33:10
21:5 23:11,12	directly 6:13 44:9	e 3:1,1 14:21 52:1	error 17:2 19:12
24:16 26:16 28:13	director 33:2,17	52:1	especially 29:11
33:15 35:14,24	33:22	e.1. 15:18	essentially 25:20
36:7 47:13,13	disappointed	e.2 15:18	evening 3:2,15,23
decisions 20:12	45:13	e.3 15:21	4:14 23:3 41:2
deeds 24:1 26:2	discussed 12:5	e.3 13.21 e1 44:22 45:25	43:6
defines 27:9	23:18	e3 16:2,5,8	eventually 41:15
definition 20:4	discussion 3:10,11	e4 16:12 17:9	everybody 3:5
30:22	3:13,23,24 47:5	e5 17:14	evidence 23:23
definitions 28:12	discussions 46:2	es 17.14 earlier 23:10	exact 5:7
30:25	disposal 33:7	30:16 39:18 44:7	example 44:14
delete 23:4 26:9	distinct 17:16	easier 21:6 44:11	45:21
37:16 38:17	distracted 11:22	effect 25:5,16	excavation 8:10
deleting 38:24	district 11:4 18:15	effectively 11:13	9:9 21:24 33:7,19
deltas 4:25 20:15	18:15	eight 39:12 44:25	33:23
demolished 31:20	document 26:18	either 7:18 20:17	exceptions 13:3
	27:5 35:7,8	electric 39:5	exclude 28:8
31:23,24 demolition 19:14	documentation	electric 39:3 elevation 15:4	exercise 8:1
31:21 32:8	29:21 47:18	elevations 21:16	exhibit 30:9,9
	doherty 1:18 52:4	eligibility 46:11	36:22 43:18 50:24
density 48:1	52:18	eligibility 46:11 eliminate 11:13	exist 11:19
department 3:20 32:9	doing 9:14 36:18	eliminated 11:7	existing 19:15
	42:22	15:20	25:15 29:16 31:4
deposition 51:15		13.20	32:1

expectation 3:14	44:1,8,15 45:21	g	grade 15:5
expires 52:22	47:25 49:11	g 3:1 11:3	grant 40:21
_	fit 4:2 45:10,23	gallon 5:18 37:21	granting 12:14
extensive 20:7	five 5:7 14:9,13	gap 47:25	47:10
extent 6:17	22:5 29:23 30:11	garage 5:16 6:14	great 35:2
extra 14:1,9,13	33:4 36:21 38:1	32:4 38:6	greater 46:3
f	floor 1:13 5:12,23	gauging 48:1	gross 44:15
f 17:22 52:1	6:9,23 13:14,16	general 4:24 11:2	ground 13:24 14:5
facing 7:3	14:16 16:21 44:15	11:4 20:13 33:11	14:8,10,10 16:21
fact 20:6 29:12	folded 50:3	generally 46:12	groundwater
46:4,9 48:14	follow 43:20 49:5	geotech 21:24	32:23
factor 18:8	following 23:22	33:14,20	group 4:13
family 16:15 17:15	25:17	geotechnical 9:7	guess 22:10 27:16
far 7:3	food 38:15,16	20:23 34:1	27:22 38:17 39:15
favor 51:1	41:23 42:21 48:14	gestalt 45:7 46:16	43:11,21 46:7
feasible 9·19	48:17 49:10 50:8	getting 10:13	h
feel 20:14 31:18,19	foot 16:1,17 17:17	31:22 37:2	h 19:6
38.23 42.1 43.1 2	44:25	give 4:24 32:10	hall 1:11
feeling 41·16	force 25:5,16	42:17	hammond 8:14
feels 25:13	foregoing 52:7	given 43:24 47:1	19:15 22:7 33:6
Teel	forgoing 24:18	giving 51:2	43:7,8
1 13:14 13 13 1b 22 1	forth 25:10 52:10	glad 10:18	hand 43:2 52:16
13:23 14:11,17,17	forward 23:11	glare 39:14 40:5	handled 4:19
15:1,23 16:17	49:2	go 10:22,23 27:7	happy 40:10 47:2
1/11/16/18/14	forwarded 43:13	27:25 28:18,20,23	haril 2:11
22:6 29:22 44:24	foundation 8:5,9	29:7 33:1 34:20	harvard 12:8 50:8
felt 4:9 7:24 49:23	9:23 21:24 33:15	39:9 42:12 43:23	hazard 10:5
fifteen 36:19	33:19,23,25 34:2	47:7 50:4	hazardous 8:17
TITTN 2.2.13	foundations 29:11	going 4:19 6:20	31:11
filed 23:25	four 22:3 32:19	8:6 12:1 15:3 16:8	hazards 10:11
finagle 42:2	35:13,19 36:12,23	16:14 18:3 20:16	head 44:23
final 4:8 26:8,18	36:23 37:1,19	20:20 21:7,18,21	heading 34:24
1 1111 18171	frontiers 41:13	21:25 22:5 23:1,2	health 4:5 32:9
I linalize 4/1/	full 25:5,16 37:5	23:11,20 25:6	48:6,25 50:10
findings 70.11	function 39:11	26:7,23 31:10	hearing 1:8 3:3,14
1 27:10	further 50:23	36:13,14 37:12,17	23:1 44:7 47:14
fine 27:22	fuss 7:15,21 8:3,23	37:25 38:19,20	51:6,8,14 52:9
first 4:12 5:16 6:9	9:5 10:13	41:15 45:11 47:7	hearings 43:23
6:23 7:23 20:1	future 46:15 49:23	48:21 49:2	46:2,15 47:1
21:10 24:20 29:15		good 3:2 7:25 24:7	heath 39:25 43:8
32:2 35:10 41:10		43:6	

[height - little] Page 6

hoight 14:22.25	improved 45.10	introducing 9:15	knowledge 52:11
height 14:23,25 held 52:9	improved 45:18 45:19	introduction 9:9	knows 3:6
helpful 4:24 15:5	inch 44:24 45:24	invite 9:6 41:2	kobes 43:6,7,11,14
32:13	45:25	involved 10:2	43:17,21 46:22
helps 50:11	inches 15:1 16:18	32:10	48:2
hereinbefore	inclined 34:22	issuance 11:25	
52:10	include 33:24	23:22 30:6 32:19	1
hereunto 52:15	included 8:2 31:16	33:3	l 19:10,12,17 37:4
hesitated 14:19	31:19 34:21	issue 9:20 37:10	land 21:19 45:15
hi 42:8	including 29:10	39:19 46:1 48:10	45:18
highlighted 22:23	increased 22:14	issues 8:7	language 19:16
23:5 37:2	22:15 46:4	item 19:21 22:13	23:11,12,20 24:6
hill 41:8	increases 13:22	35:13,19 36:23	27:25 36:1 39:23
history 20:8 22:11	increasing 4:22	items 3:14,20 4:10	40:11
27:8,12,13 35:13	5:3	10:21	large 5:15 11:20
35:22	independent 48:5	iv 22:14	larger 5:25 38:7
hit 42:9	indicate 15:4	j	late 41:12
hold 5:17 23:13	indicated 33:25		latitude 10:3
homes 39:15,25	indicates 16:2	j 19:7	learn 45:5
48:9	indicative 45:22	jennifer 1:18 52:4	leave 22:25 27:22
hope 46:15	inform 33:15	52:18	left 18:12 32:4
hoped 45:16	information 10:6	johanna 2:2 3:6	level 5:16 13:25
housekeeping 4:12	29:10 44:3	joined 3:7	14:5,8,10,10 16:21
13:2 15:24 16:16	initial 7:23	juncture 8:20	light 23:18 39:14
17:15	initiates 49:17	k	limited 33:7,24 48:13
housing 46:11	input 47:1	k 19:10,13,16	line 15:4 16:14
hundred 17:24	installing 29:25	keep 9:12 11:25	22:1,13 25:6,14
18:1	instinct 31:3	17:24 27:7 28:12	27:4,4 34:17 35:3
hundreds 44:25	insubstantial 20:3	38:19	35:8,11,18 36:8
i	23:14 24:15 50:2	keeping 21:9	37:18 38:18,24
idea 24:8 35:2	50:3	keeps 17:1	47:18
39:25 41:22,24	intensity 48:4,18	kind 6:13 14:24	lines 11:6,14,15,18
identical 29:19	49:9	16:5 32:6 41:1,23	26:3,13 27:17,22
identified 30:3	interactive 4:13	42:25 45:16	list 22:6 28:9
imagine 34:11	internal 11:14,14	kitchens 48:16	31:16 38:2 44:2,3
impact 41:17	11:18	know 14:3 23:1	44:10,17,22
44:11 50:15,16	introduce 8:7	24:3 26:17 40:7	listed 13:4 29:23
impacts 48:19	41:24 49:14	40:23 41:4,5	34:1,5 35:13 36:3
important 8:12	introduced 9:18	42:13,21 43:9	little 5:17 18:2,4
9:22 45:8	21:3	45:2 48:22 50:11	19:22 27:18 42:5
		50:18	45:13

[live - need] Page 7

live 41:6	38:21	39:9 40:3,13	morelli 2:6 3:18
lived 41:10	manage 6:10	47:15 49:5,11	3:19 4:21 7:12,19
living 21:19 22:14	49:24	50:11 51:9,11	9:12 10:17,25
34:12	management 3:9	member 2:3,4	12:5,20,24 13:21
load 33:14	3:10 4:3,9,25 7:16	41:8,8	14:2,5,8,18 15:17
loading 48:8	7:22 9:8,16 10:1	members 46:24	16:12,22 17:2,6,9
local 29:17	21:2 33:7	memo 4:5 7:19	17:14,22 19:1,5,25
located 46:13	maria 2:6 3:16,19	10:24	21:14 22:12,21,25
	5:5 7:10,17 9:5	mention 4:11	23:8,12,21 24:5,9
long 50:6	10:22 13:24 14:15	mention 4.11 mentioned 5:5	
longer 15:18 19:7 37:7	15:8 16:19 18:23	method 33:25	24:13,17,22 25:8 25:22 26:9 27:6
look 13:3 21:7	19:22 24:19 25:1	metric 48:1	28:4,11,25 29:9
26:25 29:8 34:18	40:15 44:1 47:6	metrics 4:7 13:10	30:10,21 31:6,9,15
35:10 39:22 41:18	mark 2:3 3:7 9:1	20:5 21:20 48:3	31:18 32:3,17
44:22 45:6	mary 41:6	middle 16:25	34:7,11,18 35:3,9
looked 45:17	mass 46:11	mind 9:13 12:1	35:20,23 36:2,6,12
47:25 48:7	massachusetts	17:25 27:7 28:12	36:21 37:3,16,22
looking 21:16	1:14 33:9 52:2,6	38:19 46:7	37:24 38:8,14
22:10 27:3 46:17	material 10:8	mindful 41:14	39:2,4,19 40:10,17
48:3	31:11	minimize 42:24	43:10,13,15,19
looks 14:11	materials 8:17	minor 22:18 28:25	47:9,24 49:7,13
losing 21:10	20:10,16 22:19	47:23	50:7,22
loss 23:19	31:16	missing 22:20	multiple 48:21
lost 32:14 42:1,2,9	math 36:18	mitigation 39:5	murphy 41:5,6
lot 10:8 11:6,21	maximum 15:2	49:9	47:16
13:11,13 24:3,12	44:15	modification 12:9	n
33:6 44:16 45:10	mean 30:18	19:24 20:1,9	n 3:1
45:23 48:2,11	meaningful 46:17	26:21 27:5 35:6	name 24:23 41:4
50:14	means 18:11	39:12 49:14 50:14	natural 15:5
lots 11:5,11 15:19	meant 28:4	modifications 20:2	nearby 39:14
24:11	mechanism 11:8	25:14 47:11	necessarily 10:9
m	50:12,19	modified 3:4,11	11:7
main 6:7 44:4 45:3	meiklejohn 2:4	20:10,25 21:22,25	necessary 32:15
maintaining 30:1	3:7 9:5 10:12	22:3 25:10,11,12	need 4:18 12:13
maintenance 6:10	12:23 13:24 14:6	25:17,20 26:12	13:7,9,10 15:18
major 47:22	15:8,12 16:11,19	27:17,23 34:16	16:3,8 18:22 19:7
making 10:10	16:24 17:4,8,13,21	modify 49:4	23:19 26:3,5
25:14	19:4,20 21:11	monitoring 8:8	27:14,25 35:25
mall 16:7	24:14,19,24,25	monitors 29:25	37:8,23 38:15
maloney 4:4,23	30:15 31:1,7,13,17	30:2	40:12 47:6,7
5:10,20 7:5 38:9	34:9 36:20 37:20		48:15 49:19,22
1 J. IU.ZU /) 38:9			40.13 49.19,22

[needs - post] Page 8

1 0 22 20 12	1 10 14	22 22 22	4 1 27 0
needs 9:23 29:13	o'neill's 10:14	32:22,22	pertained 37:8
31:20 40:20 43:15	obvious 12:12	parcels 11:3 12:10	pertaining 3:24
48:15,17	22:2	parenthetical 35:6	pertains 8:4 20:9
negative 48:19	obviously 28:13	parking 8:10	29:15,18 32:18,21
neighborhood	35:10 50:5	17:23 18:1,8,10,11	
42:17,19 43:3	occur 7:2	18:14,17,18 19:9	picked 44:2
50:20	october 1:10 52:16	20:24 34:3 37:11	pickup 6:3,5,18
neighborhoods	52:23	46:1,3,5 48:23	7:2,5
41:16	okay 4:17 7:10	part 10:14 16:7	pickups 5:21,22
neighbors 41:7	18:19 23:6,9,13	17:3 27:4 40:3	piece 32:2 42:14
42:8,18	24:9,25 32:16	42:1,19 43:22	pile 33:25
never 42:5	34:10 35:9 36:5	49:13	piles 8:6 29:11
new 7:24,24 13:5	36:12 37:6 38:17	parts 32:19	pits 29:25
13:12 15:25 20:9	47:5 50:22 51:13	party 8:22 10:11	place 13:25 49:12
20:18,18,21,21,24	old 31:23 38:5	33:5	52:10
20:24,24 21:22,22	older 29:3	pass 43:12,19	plan 3:9,10 4:4,6,9
25:12 26:13,24	open 49:15	passed 44:5,19	4:18 5:1,8,11 7:11
29:8,12 34:19	opportunity 42:15	45:2	7:16,22 8:5,10 9:8
42:18 49:14,15	46:24	pat 4:4,23 5:10,20	10:1 11:9,11 16:2
newly 25:10 32:22	option 4:10	7:4	16:13 20:17,18,21
newton 42:3	organize 20:15	pay 40:4	20:24 21:1,2
nice 50:18	orient 16:13	peer 7:15 48:4,5	23:19,25 32:23,24
nine 23:7	original 28:13	peet's 42:4,10	33:9,19 34:1,3
nit 22:18	overhang 16:23	pencil 11:22	37:5 38:21
notary 52:5	overview 4:25	pending 37:23	planner 2:6 3:19
note 13:21 15:21	overwhelms 46:10	percent 44:19 45:1	planning 3:19
30:24	ownership 11:5,6	45:1	5:22 9:16 11:12
noted 25:3 28:1,7	p	percentages 44:13	plans 8:9 20:19,24
notice 10:4	p 3:1	perfect 28:19	21:5,12,15,16
noticed 38:4	=	permit 3:4 9:11	33:24 35:12,12
notifying 30:4	p.m. 1:10 51:16 page 7:19 16:4	11:25 23:23 25:4	38:12
number 14:4 23:5		25:10,15 26:14,20	point 3:21,25 6:18
23:17 26:10 28:5	22:18 23:7 25:2,2 32:19 36:25 37:1	26:25 28:3 32:8	13:7 22:12 30:11
31:2 39:12 40:20		32:10,10,20 33:3	32:6 40:18 44:21
numbered 23:7	37:25 39:13 43:18	33:11 39:18	45:3 49:18
numeral 22:14	pages 52:7	person 6:10	portion 48:12
0	paid 41:19 47:3	personally 50:17	portions 4:2
	pandya 2:11 15:15	perspective 7:11	possible 6:17
o 3:1	paragraph 23:7	pertain 21:24	post 9:24 30:7
o'neill 7:15,21 8:3	25:3 27:25 37:8	29:24 33:6	32:18
8:24 9:6	parcel 7:24 8:15		
	13:12 20:3 29:13		

potential 8:13	40:9 41:13 46:11	questions 7:10 9:2	record 4:2 7:18
10:4	47:2 49:20 50:15	46:19	13:17 14:15 24:17
powerful 44:12	projects 9:19	queue 37:14,16	24:23 25:2
pre 9:23 29:20	proof 11:24	quite 10:3 16:20	recording 4:15
precinct 41:12	properties 9:14,22	r	26:20
preliminary 7:22	11:7 30:18 39:16	_	recycling 5:13,16
7:25 21:1	39:17,20 40:6	r 3:1 52:1	6:3,6 21:1 38:6
prepared 3:24	property 16:14	raised 8:24 48:2	red 22:1 25:6,14
presentation	31:5,7 50:20	raj 2:10	26:3,5,13 27:4,4
43:18	proposed 45:14	randolph 2:4 3:7 7:9 9:4	27:17,22 34:17
presented 5:11	proposing 19:23	rashna 2:8 5:4	35:3,8
29:2	protecting 39:21	rate 46:14	redefining 27:9
presume 23:2	protection 9:21	ratio 13:14 14:16	reduce 5:21
pretty 21:23 22:2	40:5,7	18:2,17,18 44:10	redundancy 25:19
39:24	provide 15:6	44:15	refer 20:13,20
prevent 47:19	23:23 37:19 41:1	ratios 45:17 48:24	reference 28:6
previous 46:2	41:3 49:8	read 7:18 13:17	referring 20:14
previously 5:14	provided 8:24	26:22 27:15	refers 47:18
26:20	16:17	reading 26:1	regard 4:3 12:12
prior 11:24 23:22	providing 10:15	really 5:1 6:7 8:12	14:23 22:19
27:1 30:6 33:2	16:1 17:17 18:10	9:17 25:15 26:3	regarding 13:11
probably 9:8	18:18,21 32:12	28:14 29:18 32:15	15:18 23:18 39:5
31:19 39:15 45:22	provision 8:17	37:9 38:1 39:20	40:19
problem 12:11	proximity 48:9	40:8,18 41:16,20	registry 11:24
37:13	public 3:12 31:21	42:9,18 45:8 47:4	23:25 26:2,19
procedural 22:11	32:13 40:24,25	48:6,22 50:17	regs 29:17
27:8,12,13 35:13	42:14 43:22 46:25	rear 15:25 16:1	regulations 31:3
35:22	pulling 26:19	17:18	32:7
procedure 20:8	pursuant 33:8,10	reason 38:8,11,13	relate 31:2
proceeding 10:6	purview 9:18 10:5	received 40:24	related 21:5 48:14
proceedings 41:2	10:9 38:23	47:3	relatedness 50:16
process 11:10 32:9	put 6:19 21:13	receptacles 41:23	relegated 9:17
45:6,17 46:8 49:7 50:5	24:5 42:15 45:9 46:16	42:20	remain 25:5 28:3
		recommend 11:21	remaining 3:13 25:16 43:22
professional 33:13 33:20	putting 45:3	23:21	remains 15:1 29:5
program 49:16	q	recommendation	remediation 8:13
prohibit 47:19	quantities 5:3	14:22	8:21 29:13 33:10
project 3:5,9,12	question 10:13	recommendations	remember 38:11
4:24 7:23 19:8	23:17 31:1 34:14	8:3 10:19	renumber 34:19
20:4 30:25 37:18	47:16 49:6,23	recommended	201101111001 31117
2020.20 07.10		5:20	

renumbering	50:19	rules 9:13	second 16:20
34:21	rest 43:12	run 42:7	22:18 23:13 35:11
repeating 28:14	restaurant 42:5	S	35:18 37:18 39:1
replace 20:17	restrict 49:1	s 3:1	40:23 44:6
report 3:17 20:22	restricted 12:15	saw 39:4	section 13:1
20:23	38:17 50:8	saying 7:20 16:25	sections 37:2
reporter 1:18 52:5	results 32:25	17:1 25:17,20	see 4:2 10:19
52:19	retail 5:25 12:16	26:10,11,12,21,22	12:10,17 16:13
reports 21:6 30:1	14:10 48:14,21,22	31:4	23:13 26:3,5,5
30:4	retained 30:14	says 16:25 31:2	27:21,23 31:22
represent 43:22	return 14:13,19	42:18	32:14,25 34:16
representing 41:7	review 3:8 4:6,8	schedule 20:16,20	36:7 39:22 43:1
request 47:16,23	4:18,23 7:21 8:5	21:4,18,21 22:3,5	46:16
49:3 50:21	13:1,18,20 14:14	25:11 28:1,6,8,23	seeing 22:22
requested 3:11	16:3 19:14 20:7	29:1,8,23 30:10	sekant 8:6 29:11
10:23 13:4 18:24	32:21 33:1,16,21	34:1,5,6,7,9,14,15	33:25
require 17:24	38:20 40:16 48:5	34:16,25 35:1,8	senior 3:19
31:25	50:5	36:3,3,6,8,9,22,24	sense 10:22,23
required 6:2 11:9	reviewed 5:9,9	38:1	25:7,18 42:17
11:16 16:16 17:7	8:11 9:24 11:19	schedules 20:13	sent 43:8
17:25 23:25 44:16	29:14	20:14 22:8	sentence 22:19
44:17,20,23 46:4	reviewer 7:15 18:8	schneider 2:2 3:2	23:5 39:1
requirement	reviewers 48:5	3:6 4:17 7:9,13	separate 11:10
15:23	ridge 15:4,9,10	9:1,4 10:18 12:2	34:23 38:6,9
requirements	right 7:3 8:14,20	12:18,22 13:19	separated 48:17
46:11 49:22	9:12 12:4 14:18	14:3 16:9 17:12	separately 45:7
requires 18:21	18:19 19:15 36:18	17:20 18:23 19:3	separation 38:15
reside 43:7	37:24 39:1 40:2	19:19,21 22:9,17	sequence 30:16
residential 5:23	road 26:2 41:6	22:22 23:6,9,16	set 25:10 44:5,6
6:3,5,10,19 14:7	50:19	24:7,10 25:1,13,24	52:10,15
14:10 17:24 18:11	roman 22:14	26:4,15 27:2,14,18	setback 16:17
18:20 39:16,21,25	room 5:12,16 6:8	27:24 28:9,18,22	17:17
48:9	38:6,7,13	29:7 30:8 31:25	setbacks 17:16
residents 40:5	rooms 5:2 38:9	32:16 34:4,10,13	sets 44:5
resonates 50:17	round 21:10	35:1,5,17,21,25	seven 5:18 37:19
respect 23:10	route 7:6 16:15	36:5,11 37:1,14	shared 8:19 11:6
respond 49:17	40:2 42:2	38:25 40:14,22	12:3 16:14
50:20	rows 44:4	43:4 46:20,23	shines 39:14
responding 50:13	rubbish 3:9 4:18	49:21 51:5,10,13	shop 41:25 42:5
responsible 8:19	7:11 20:25 21:1	seal 52:16	47:20 49:20,24
8:22 10:10 33:5		SCAI J2.10	

shorthand 52:5,19	space 4:22 5:6,13	straightforward	support 8:11
shorthand 32.3,19 show 16:20	6:1,17 12:16	21:23	
	· ·		33:19,23 49:9
shown 35:12 38:12	18:11,14 48:14	strap 19:21	supposed 14:12
side 6:25 7:3,6	49:9	street 1:9,12 3:5	sure 8:18 9:19
15:19,19 42:2,7,15	spaces 6:16,24	8:14 22:7,7 33:6	10:10 13:6 14:24
sign 47:13 51:2	17:23 18:1,7,9,10	39:14 42:7 43:7,8	25:25 31:24 36:24
signature 25:2	18:12,18,24 19:9	strip 16:7	38:12 39:24 47:5
52:17	37:11 46:3	structural 15:13	surface 18:7,9
significance 22:24	speak 41:3 42:14	structure 15:9	survey 9:23 29:20
similar 5:11 7:4,5	specific 45:12	19:15 31:22,23	30:7 32:18
12:8 49:25 50:5	48:23	32:1,5	survive 42:10
similarly 16:12	specifications 29:1	structured 19:24	sushi 16:6
simply 4:6	specified 48:22	structures 31:19	suspenders 14:25
single 24:3,12	specify 24:2	study 20:23 33:13	32:7
site 16:2,13 20:4,4	spent 50:13	33:16	t
20:18,21 27:9,9	spot 7:1	sub 44:8	t 17:15 52:1,1
30:18,20,22,24	square 5:17,24	submit 11:11,23	take 46:24 47:8
35:12 46:10,12	13:13,13,15,15,16	32:21 33:18	48:11
48:8,8,20,21	13:22,22 14:11,16	submitted 8:5	taken 44:9
situation 46:5	14:17 18:14	11:18	takes 8:15
situations 12:9	ss 52:3	submitting 33:16	talk 19:22 47:21
six 16:15 20:13	stackers 19:8 37:9	subparagraph	talked 7:4 23:10
23:5,18 36:19,23	37:9,13	37:4,7	talking 50:14
37:25 40:1,2	staff 3:17 8:5,11	subparagraphs	tandem 19:8
sixth 1:13	47:12	29:18,24	tdm 39:5
size 5:2 13:11,13	stamped 33:20	substantial 20:1	team 4:24
37:5,21 46:3	stand 26:13	23:14 24:15,18	technical 20:7
sizes 5:1	starbucks 42:3,3,9	50:2,4	48:5
skill 52:11	start 3:16 22:10,11	substitute 23:20	televise 4:14
social 50:16,16	37:2	summarize 7:18	
soften 42:16,25	state 9:13,21 29:17	7:20	tell 45:10 ten 44:24
soil 8:13 9:7 29:13	31:2	summary 3:24 4:1	
31:10 32:23,24,25	states 33:10	6:7 14:20	tenant 42:23
33:8	steel 15:11	super 25:22	tenants 6:2
soils 8:16 31:6	stefan 2:9	superseding 28:15	term 30:13 45:23
somebody 27:23	stenographer 4:15	supplant 26:23	terms 21:20 25:4
sorry 36:4	stop 40:22	supplanting 21:8	28:2 30:25 46:10
sort 42:7 50:4,16	stopping 30:5	supplement 20:17	test 8:16 29:24
sounds 27:15	storefronts 41:24	supplemental	testimony 52:8
southwest 16:6	stormwater 20:22	20:11,22,22,23	testing 29:13
		27:12	31:11,12 32:24,25

[tests - walls] Page 12

44 - 0 10	47.05.40.22.50.14	41 11 02 10 6	50.15
tests 8:19	47:25 49:23 50:14	typed 11:23 12:6	varieties 50:15
thank 3:18 4:17	52:9	typically 6:4 12:7	vehicles 39:5
7:8,13 14:2 17:8	times 41:21	31:5	ventilation 48:10
22:21 24:13,22,25	titles 44:16	typos 39:7	48:16
34:12 40:13 43:3	today 23:10	u	ventilator 48:15
43:4 46:18,20,22	toggle 14:19	understand 7:14	version 11:23 12:6
46:24	tonight 3:8	25:24 41:20,21	13:6 22:1 26:6,8
thanks 10:15	top 15:9,11,12	42:4 44:11 46:13	29:3 34:17 35:3
50:21	25:2 35:7 39:13	47:17 50:12,17	38:5
thickness 8:8 34:2	total 13:21 18:7	understanding	vibration 8:7,8
thing 7:25 8:12	36:16,17 37:17	42:21	29:25 30:2
12:13 15:24 18:6	toters 37:19	understood 21:12	village 41:9
27:6 28:11 38:5	town 1:11 8:20	21:15 30:18	virtually 48:11
45:4,8	town's 7:11	unit 18:25 40:1,2	visionary 41:19
things 22:23 31:14	track 32:14	united 33:10	visual 29:21
32:23 40:20,21	traction 41:20	united 33.10 units 5:7 12:14,15	vogelmann 2:9
42:16 43:1 48:2	traffic 20:23	17:25 18:17 35:11	15:11,14
48:18 49:15 51:1	transcript 52:8	unknowns 48:24	vote 12:19 16:8
51:12	transcripts 4:16	49:1	17:10 24:17,23
think 3:5 4:23	transition 30:16	update 4:6 5:8	40:19,20
7:20 10:25 11:1	transportation	12:13 19:13	voted 13:8,9 51:3
12:5 13:25 14:9	33:18,22	updated 5:9 13:11	votes 47:7,9
19:11 26:12,21	trash 3:9 4:3,25	36:14	voting 51:9
28:22 30:12,13,21	5:2,8,11,12,13,15	updates 28:25	W
32:13 35:18 36:21	5:23 6:1,3,5,8,11	updating 12:14	w 2:2
36:23 37:24 38:10	38:6	use 24:20 46:10	waiver 12:12,14
38:14 39:19,21	treated 27:11	48:4,18 49:10,19	12:24,25 13:6,9
40:4,6,11,18,23	truck 6:4	49:22 50:8	14:19,21 15:7,18
42:15 47:6 50:12	true 52:7	useful 44:13	15:18,21 17:22
50:24,25	trusts 34:12	uses 38:16 48:22	18:1,22,24 19:6,6
third 44:10	trying 45:5	usual 4:15	19:7,10,12,12,14
thought 28:6	turned 46:25	usually 15:15	19:16,17 44:2,3,10
45:15	two 4:10,16 5:18		44:17 46:18 49:22
three 5:18 21:21	5:22 7:19 11:3,4,6	V	waivers 3:11,25
25:9,11 28:2,5,6,8	12:10 17:15 18:7	valid 27:11	10:21,23 11:15,17
28:23 29:3,5,8	18:8 21:18 22:13	validity 46:19	11:20 12:1 13:4
32:18,22 34:1,5,6	22:20 29:1,5,18	valuable 47:1	15:22 22:4 36:22
1		value 27:21 44:16	
34:8,14,15,16 35:2	30:18,25 36:17	value 27.21 44.10	10.24 44.4 5 12
34:8,14,15,16 35:2 36:3,17	30:18,25 36:17 37:7,18 39:13	44:18 50:18	40:24 44:4,5,12
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		wall 42:15
36:3,17	37:7,18 39:13	44:18 50:18	, ,

[want - zuroff] Page 13

want 3:16,21,25	word 22:20 24:21
4:22 7:17 9:18	work 30:12,14,22
13:7 14:23 18:6	38:2 47:11 48:20
18:16 19:22 20:5	worked 37:10 50:9
24:5,10 25:25	works 26:17 45:6
27:24 28:12,14	46:8,8
30:11 31:23 32:20	worse 45:21,24,25
34:20 37:3 38:21	46:6
39:24 40:15 42:5	woven 10:19
42:11,12,22,25	write 4:5
43:20 46:23	writing 4:1 34:11
wanted 4:10 9:20	wrong 13:25
15:21 22:25 23:3	y
37:4 38:9	yard 5:19 15:20
wants 42:24 50:20	15:25 16:1 17:18
warranted 8:13,21	yards 15:19
washington 1:12	yeah 9:5 35:2
waste 6:12 38:15	years 41:11,12
42:22 48:17	Z
water 32:25 33:8	zba 13:1 15:22
way 18:4 19:23	49:15,17
27:2 38:11 we've 5:5 6:8 9:14	zba's 10:9
	zero 14:12
9:15,18 12:8 21:13 42:1 50:25	zone 48:8
weaker 30:13	zoned 11:3
website 44:3.9	zoning 15:23
wednesday 1:10	16:16,25 17:7,23
week 5:22	18:21
weeks 4:16	zuroff 2:3 3:7 6:12
weigh 48:6 49:15	6:20 7:8 9:3 12:21
went 44:7 45:16	14:15 16:10 17:11
45:23	17:19 19:2,18
wheeling 6:21	24:2,12 25:19
whereof 52:15	26:1,7,11,24 27:16
width 37:5,11	27:21 28:16,20,24
witness 52:15	30:20 34:25 35:15
wondering 27:3	37:23 39:3,7
31:9	49:18 50:23 51:7
worcester 52:3	